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## Best responses and rationality

- The assumption that motivates our predictions is that players are rational, in a game context this means that: players always choose strategies that maximize their expected utility given their beliefs


## Prediction

Given a strategic form game, players will only choose strategies that are a best response to some belief about his/her opponent's strategies

- We use the symbol $B R_{i}$ to denote the set of such strategies:

$$
B R_{i}=\left\{s_{i} \in S_{i} \mid \text { there is some } \theta_{-i} \text { such that } s_{i} \in B R_{i}\left(\theta_{-i}\right)\right\}
$$

- The prediction is that every player $i$ will choose a strategy in $B R_{i}$


## Example: A $3 \times 2$ game

## Best responses

|  | L | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p$ | $[1-p]$ |  |$]$

- When one player has only two strategies, we can graph the expected utility of his/her opponents to find the set of best responses
- Player 1's expected utility is given by:

$$
U_{1}(U, p)=6 p \quad U_{1}(M, p)=5-3 p \quad U_{1}(D, p)=3
$$

## Example: A $3 \times 2$ game

Best responses


## Strictly dominated strategies

motivation

- For general games finding the set of best responses is not that straightforward
- We will find such set indirectly by introducing the notion of strictly dominated strategies
- Strictly dominated strategies was originally thought as an interesting concept on its own
- We will use it only because of its relationship with best responses: $a$ strategy is a best response to some belief if and only if it is not strictly dominated


## Mixed strategies

- Before defining strict dominance we extend our notion of strategy by allowing players to make random choices


## Definition

A mixed strategy for player $i$ is a probability distribution $\sigma_{i}$ over his/her strategies

- Mathematically, the notions of beliefs and mixed strategies are similar but the interpretation is different
- For example, in a game with two players 1 and 2
- $\theta_{2}$ represents 1's beliefs about 2's behavior which might very well be deterministic
- $\sigma_{2}$ represents 2's behavior which might very well be unknown by 1
- As before, we can compute $i$ 's expected utility for playing according to $\sigma_{i}, U_{i}\left(\sigma_{i}, s_{-i}\right)$ or $U_{i}\left(\sigma_{i}, \theta_{-i}\right)$


## Strictly dominated strategies

## Definition

We say that a pure strategy $s_{i}$ is strictly dominated by a pure or mixed strategy $\sigma_{i}$ if playing according $\sigma_{i}$ generates a strictly higher expected payoff for $i$ than $s_{i}$, independently of what the other players do. That is, if and only if:

$$
U_{i}\left(\sigma_{i}, s_{-i}\right)>u_{i}\left(s_{i}, s_{-i}\right)
$$

for every $s_{-i} \in S_{-i}$.

## Example: A $3 \times 2$ game

## Dominated strategies

|  | L | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U | 6,3 | 0,1 |
|  | 2,1 | 5,0 |
|  | 3,2 | 3,1 |
|  |  |  |

- For player $2, R$ is strictly dominated by $L$ because:

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{2}(U, L)=3>1=u_{2}(U, R) \\
u_{2}(M, L)=1>0=u_{2}(M, R) \\
u_{2}(D, L)=2>1=u_{2}(D, R)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Example: A $3 \times 2$ game

## Dominated strategies

|  | L | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U | 6,3 | 0,1 |
|  | 2,1 | 5,0 |
|  |  |  |

- For player $1, D$ is not strictly dominated $U$ nor by $M$ but it is strictly dominated by $\sigma_{1}=(1 / 3,2 / 3,0)$ because:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
U_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}, L\right)=\frac{1}{3} 6+\frac{2}{3} 2=\frac{10}{3}>3=u_{1}(D, L) \\
U_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}, R\right)=\frac{2}{3} 5=\frac{10}{3}>3=u_{1}(D, R)
\end{array}
$$

## Dominance and best responses

## Theorem

A strategy $s_{i}$ is a best response for some belief of player $i$ if and only if it is not dominated by any other pure or mixed strategy

- Our first prediction was that rational players always choose best responses
- This theorem allows us to determine the set of best responses by eliminating the strategies that are strictly dominated
- In many cases (almost surely in the exams) it is sufficient to look for strategies that are dominated by pure strategies
- In some few cases, eliminating dominated strategies is sufficient to fully predict the outcome of a game


## Example: prisoner's dilemma

dominated strategies

|  | Keep Silent | Confess |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Keep silent | $-1,-1$ | $-5,0$ |
| Confess | $0,-5$ | $-3,-3$ |
|  |  |  |

- In the prisoner's dilemma, keeping silent is strictly dominated by confessing
- We thus can predict that rational players playing the prisoner's dilemma will confess

